

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION N |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 10/645,253 | 08/21/2003 | Thomas A. Meyer | SC01604 | 7562 |
| 24265 | 7590 08/10/2006 | | EXAM | INER |
| SCHERING-PLOUGH CORPORATION | | | DODSON, SHELLEY A | |
| PATENT DEPARTMENT (K-6-1, 1990) 2000 GALLOPING HILL ROAD | | 990) | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| KENILWORTH, NJ 07033-0530 | | | 1616 | |

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | A 44 44 A1 | A 11 4/ \ | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Office Action Summany | 10/645,253 | MEYER ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | SHELLEY A. DODSON | 1616 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on AMEI | NDMENT FILED 5/25/2006. | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| • • | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11 and 15-26</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11 and 15-26</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | · | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| 11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Solution (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/2006. Solution (PTO-152) Other: | | | | | | |
| 1 apoi 140(3)/iviali Date //2000. | Ouler | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1.

Applicant's arguments filed 5/25/2006 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

2.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

4.

Claims 10, 11, 15, and 16 and new claims 17-26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cole USP No. 6,444,195 B1 for the reasons stated in the previous office action.

Additionally with respect to applicant's arguments directed towards the statements of intended use carrying no patentable weight being a moot issue, the examiner does not find this argument persuasive with respect to the composition nor the method of use. It is the examiner's position that Cole et al discloses a composition comprising the same active ingredients in the same amounts and proportions claimed by the applicant in the instant In column 4, line 58, patentee discloses that case. the concentration of both the zinc oxide well as the as phenylbenzimidazole sulfonic acid components may be in amounts ranging from 0.1% to about 30%. Additionally, applicant's open terminology of "comprising" does not exclude the presence of an additional photostabilizing amount of diesters or polyesters. This open terminology does not limit applicant's claims to just those two components. It is still the examiner's position that applicant is claiming a composition in an oil-in-water emulsion comprising the same components in the same amounts and proportions as those claimed by the reference. It is the examiner's position that if the avobenzone, zinc oxide and the phenylbenzimidazole sulfonic acid components of the prior art are in the composition in the same amounts and proportions as those claimed by the applicant then

there is not reason to believe that said components would not act the same as those claimed by applicant. The absence of an additional photostabilizing component does not render applicant's invention patentably distinct.

5.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Serial No. 10/645,253 Art Unit 1616

Telephone Inquiries

6.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shelley A. Dodson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0612 and fax number (571) 273-0612. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached at (571) 272-0646.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. This new location should be used in all instances when faxing any correspondence numbers to Group 1600. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more

Serial No. 10/645,253 Art Unit 1616

information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shelley A. Dodson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1616

August 7, 2006